UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,719	03/23/2004	Kenneth P. Hoyme	279.721US1	2654
21186 7590 05/18/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	.Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/806,719	HOYME ET AL.				
mervion cumuary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kahelin	3762				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTÓ personnel):						
(1) <u>Michael Kahelin</u> . (3)						
(2) <u>Suneel Arora</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 May 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Shahandeh (US 6,532,389).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed several minor claim amendments to overcome the Shahandeh reference. Specifically, Applicant proposed a limitation of "monitoring all memory locations", which Examiner indicated as appearing to overcome the page-based method of Figure 7, but the reference would need to be reviewed further to say definitively. No agreement was reached.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview						
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	GEORGE R. EVAI FRIMARY EXAM	NISKO INEA				
2/8/07						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required				